United States District Court

for the District of North Carolina Western United States of America v. Case No: 3:22-cr-273-KDB-DCK-1 Cody Venson Inman USM No: 33765-510 Date of Original Judgment: 11/02/2023 Date of Previous Amended Judgment: (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any) Defendant's Attorney ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION **PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)** Upon motion of \(\subseteq \) the defendant \(\subseteq \) the Director of the Bureau of Prisons \(\subseteq \) the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: \bowtie DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to the last judgment issued) of (See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted) Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 11/02/2023 shall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED. Signed: August 22, 2024 United States District Judge Judge's signature Kenneth D. Bell Effective Date: (if different from order date) Printed name and title

This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal. (Not for Public Disclosure)

DEFENDANT: Cody Venson In	nman				
CASE NUMBER: 3:22-cr-273-			-		
DISTRICT: Western District of	North Carolina		=		
			_		
I. COURT DETERMINATIO	N OF CHIDEL	INE DANG	CF (Prior to Any Donartures)		
Previous Total Offense Level:	ON OF GOIDEL	IIIL KAIN	Amended Total Offense Level:		
Criminal History Category:			Criminal History Category:		
Previous Guideline Range:	to	months	Amended Guideline Range:	to	months
II. SENTENCE RELATIVI	E TO THE AME	NDED GU	IDELINE RANGE		
☐ The reduced sentence is w					
The previous term of impr	isonment impose	d was less tl	han the guideline range applicable	to the defendan	t at the
time of sentencing as a res	ult of a substantia	al assistance	departure or Rule 35 reduction, an	nd the reduced s	sentence
is comparably less than the	e amended guidel	ine range.			
☐ The reduced sentence is at	ove the amended	l guideline r	ange.		

III. FACTORS CONSIDERED UNDER USSG § 1B1.10 AND 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (See Chavez-Meza v. United States, 138 S.Ct. 1959 (2018))

The amendments in U.S.S.G. §4A1.1 and §4C1.1 in Amendment 821 do not change Defendant's criminal history points or criminal history category as Defendant did not have any "status points" as his offense was not committed while he was under a criminal sentence and he was not a zero-point offender as he had 6 criminal history points. (Doc. No. 33, ¶¶ 34, 35). There is no need for appointment of counsel in this matter.